

Notes/words to focus on in Analysis Part 1	Written (in sentence form) Analysis Part 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- double national average</li> <li>- 3.5x as much as Detroiters</li> </ul>	<p>In Flint, the overall population is below the poverty line, so it is absurd that the citizens living there were expected to pay double or even three times as much as other Americans for less than par water services.</p>
Notes/words to focus on in Analysis Part 2	Written (in sentence form) Analysis Part 2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Detroiters had clean water</li> <li>- not affordable w/ low income areas</li> <li>- Water services shouldn't be more than 3% of household income - Flint 7%</li> <li>- what was \$ being used for? Not for clean water services</li> </ul>	<p>Flint paid three times as much for water as the residents of Detroit did, but Detroiters had clean water and Flint did not. This makes no sense. Water bills should not exceed over 3% of household income; however, in Flint, residents were paying over 7%. This is not affordable for even a normal household, let alone ones that are impoverished areas like Flint. It is apparent that the government was taking</p>

- not using \$ properly

advantage of Flint residents. Overcharging citizens for water that is detrimental to their health is oppressive because water is a necessity, and Flint residents had no say or other option in the decisions made about the water in their city. What was the money Flint

residents were being charged for water being used on? Government officials in charge of water service funds obviously did not use the money properly and contributed to the bankruptcy of the city itself.